

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AUSTIN, TEXAS INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO:

Herman Horn, Chief, Bureau of Regional & Local Health Operations

Regional Directors

Directors, Local Health Departments

Directors, Independent WIC Local Agencies

FROM:

Gerald D. Cannaday, Jr.

Chief, Bureau of Nutrition Services

DATE:

October 27, 2000

SUBJECT; Local Agency Funding Survey

Attached please find a Local Agency Funding Survey that was designed to solicit information regarding local agency operations. The intent of this survey is to work in conjunction with the Local Agency Funding Committee by gathering information that will be used in discussions regarding the local agency funding formula.

We ask that you complete the survey and return it to the State Office no later than **November 15, 2000.** It is important that you provide accurate information since what you provide will assist committee members to make appropriate decisions regarding yourfuture reimbursement rates.

For those of you who would like to attend the upcoming Local Agency Funding Committee meeting, it is scheduled for Friday, December 8, 2000. If your schedule does not permit you to attend the meeting, please contact Debbie Brookshire by November 1, 2000 with your comments and concerns by phone at (512) 458-7111, extension 3454 or by e-mail at debbie.brookshire@tdh.state.tx.us.

Attachments

LOCAL AGENCY FUNDING SURVEY

The following survey will be used to gather information to assist in reviewing the WIC local agency funding formula. Please complete and return the survey to Debbie Brookshire by November 15, 2000.

Local Agency Employee Table

Please complete the table for budgeted positions, i.e., count vacant positions. Use September 1999 as your reference month. Please feel free to make as many copies of this table as you need.

Number of Staff by Type	Type of Staff, i.e., Director, RD, LD, Degreed Nutritionist, RN, LVN, Clerk, WCS, etc.	CPA? Y or N	% of Time Work	% Salary Paid by WIC	Length of Time in Position in Months and Years
Examples: 1 2	WIC Director Clerks	Y N N	100% 100% 50%	80% 100% 0%	2 years 5 months 1 year 1 month 10 months

Page 1 10/23/00

LOCAL AGENCY FUNDING SURVEY

- 1. How do you rate your local agency's overall service delivery today compared to the previous fiscal year?
 - a. Greatly improved
 - b. Improved
 - c. Somewhat improved
 - d. Same as last year
 - e. Somewhat declined
 - f. Declined
 - g. Greatly declined

If you could change or improve one aspect of your agency, what would it be?

What changes or improvements has your agency made this fiscal year?

Page 2 10/23/00

- 2. How satisfied are you with the growth of your agency?
 - a. Very satisfied
 - b. Satisfied
 - c. Somewhat satisfied
 - d. Not sure
 - e. Somewhat unsatisfied
 - f. Unsatisfied
 - g. Very unsatisfied

If you have not been satisfied with the growth of your agency, please tell us why:

In what areas has your agency experienced growth?

Page3 10/23/00

3.	How satisfied are you with the cost of Health Insurance for employees?
	a. Very Satisfiedb. Satisfiedc. Somewhat satisfied
	d. Not sure
	e. Somewhat unsatisfied f. Unsatisfied
	g. Very unsatisfied
4.	What financial issues have contributed to budgetary constraints:
	a. Salary increases by host agency
	b. Cost of rental space
	c. Cost of utilities
	d. Cost of supplies e. Cost of security
	f. Cost of security
	g. Other:
	What creative solutions has your agency developed to decrease overhead costs?
5.	How satisfied are you with the amount of time provided for staff trainings/meetings?
	a. Very satisfied b. Satisfied
	c. Somewhat satisfied
	d. Not sure
	e. Somewhat unsatisfied
	f. Unsatisfied
	g. Very unsatisfied

Page 4 10/23/00

	What creativ	e solutio	ns has	your	agenc	y develo	oped to pr	ovide	staf	f traini	ng?
	estions 6, 7, and					g perc	entages.	You r	nay	use a	all that
app	oly. Percentages	s must a	iaa up	to 10	10%.						
	Example: Who	do you	usually	spe	ak to w	hen yo	ou call the	IRM	Sec	tion?	
			30%	Bob	•						
			30% 20%								
			20%	Raul							
6.	What has your constraints:	agency	found	to	be the	most	effective	way	to	meet	budget
		N									
		Not fill v	acant p	ositio	ns						
		Lay off	staff			operati	on				
		Lay off s Change Reduce	staff or redu full time	ice ho e emp	ours of	•					
		Lay off s Change Reduce Reduce	staff or redu full time numbe	ice ho e emp	ours of ployees linics	•					
		Lay off s Change Reduce Reduce Reduce Reduce	staff or redufull time number service numbe	ice ho e emp r of cl s ava r of s	ours of ployees linics ailable	to part					
		Lay off s Change Reduce Reduce Reduce Reduce Seek Do	staff or redu full time numbe service numbe onations	uce ho e emp r of c es ava r of s	ours of ployees linics ailable	to part					
		Lay off s Change Reduce Reduce Reduce Reduce	staff or redu full time numbe service numbe onations	uce ho e emp r of c es ava r of s	ours of ployees linics ailable	to part					
		Lay off s Change Reduce Reduce Reduce Reduce Seek Do	staff or redu full time numbe service numbe onations	uce ho e emp r of c es ava r of s	ours of ployees linics ailable	to part					

Page 5 10/23/00

constraints?	, ,	•	·	J
The employee departu	re rate at your age	ncy is most attr	ibuted to:	
مادا ا	ng more hours			
Lighte	r pay er workload			
	 benefits stable employment 	<u> </u>		
	ded hours			
What has your agency	found to be the n	nost effective wa	ay to retain empl	oyees?
	etitive salaries			
Healtl				
	ve work environme ing leadership am		s/managers	
		•		

7.

8.

What creative solutions has your agency developed to effectively meet budget

Page 6 10/23/00

9.	What suggestions agency?	do y	ou have	which	would	help	you	improve	the	success	of yo	our

Page 7 10/23/00